

**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
GRANT COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES**

April 24, 2004



**CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS
www.auditor.ky.gov**

**105 SEA HERO ROAD, SUITE 2
FRANKFORT, KY 40601-5404
TELEPHONE 502.573.0050
FACSIMILE 502.573.0067**



CRIT LUALLEN
AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

The enclosed report prepared by Berger & Company, CPA, PSC, Certified Public Accountants, presents the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of April 24, 2004.

We engaged Berger & Company, CPA, PSC to perform the financial audit of this statement. We worked closely with the firm during our report review process; Berger & Company, CPA, PSC evaluated the Grant County Sheriff's internal controls and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Crit Luallen".

Crit Luallen
Auditor of Public Accounts

Enclosure



**REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE
GRANT COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES**

April 24, 2004



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE
GRANT COUNTY
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

April 24, 2004

Berger & Company, CPA, PSC has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes for Grant County Sheriff as of April 24, 2004. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The Sheriff collected taxes of \$7,766,748 for the districts for 2003 taxes, retaining commissions of \$254,457 to operate the Sheriff's office. The Sheriff distributed taxes of \$7,506,425 to the districts for 2003 Taxes. Taxes of \$13,154 are due to the districts from the Sheriff and refunds of \$8,517 are due to the Sheriff from the taxing districts.

Report Comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

CONTENTS

PAGE

| | |
|---|----|
| INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT | 1 |
| SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT – 2003 TAXES..... | 3 |
| NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT | 5 |
| COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION | 9 |
| REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS | 13 |



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of April 24, 2004. This tax settlement is the responsibility of the Grant County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the Grant County Sheriff's taxes charged, credited, and paid as of April 24, 2004, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 18, 2004, on our consideration of the Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Ernie Fletcher, Governor
Robbie Rudolph, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Based on the results of our audit, we present the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Berger + Company, CPA, PSC". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Berger & Company, CPA, PSC

Audit fieldwork completed -
November 18, 2004

GRANT COUNTY
RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES

April 24, 2004

| <u>Charges</u> | <u>County Taxes</u> | <u>Special Taxing Districts</u> | <u>School Taxes</u> | <u>State Taxes</u> |
|--|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Real Estate | \$ 1,143,500 | \$ 825,057 | \$ 3,837,103 | \$ 962,656 |
| Tangible Personal Property | 71,321 | 54,517 | 239,462 | 186,539 |
| Intangible Personal Property | | | | 44,088 |
| Fire Protection | 1,521 | | | |
| Increases Through Exonerations | 88 | 64 | 283 | 100 |
| Franchise Corporation | 168,837 | 125,608 | 614,884 | |
| Additional Billings | 32 | 27 | 96 | 31 |
| Bank Franchises | 52,274 | | | |
| Penalties | 8,116 | 5,854 | 26,901 | 7,085 |
| Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt | (595) | (402) | | (560) |
| Gross Chargeable to Sheriff | <u>\$ 1,445,094</u> | <u>\$ 1,010,725</u> | <u>\$ 4,718,729</u> | <u>\$ 1,199,939</u> |
| <u>Credits</u> | | | | |
| Exonerations | \$ 2,073 | \$ 1,496 | \$ 6,772 | \$ 1,771 |
| Discounts | 17,549 | 12,631 | 58,766 | 17,381 |
| Delinquents: | | | | |
| Real Estate | 20,278 | 14,631 | 66,828 | 17,070 |
| Tangible Personal Property | 842 | 644 | 2,737 | 13,528 |
| Intangible Personal Property | | | | 6,935 |
| Uncollected Franchise | <u>66,676</u> | <u>50,066</u> | <u>229,065</u> | |
| Total Credits | <u>\$ 107,418</u> | <u>\$ 79,468</u> | <u>\$ 364,168</u> | <u>\$ 56,685</u> |
| Taxes Collected | \$ 1,337,676 | \$ 931,257 | \$ 4,354,561 | \$ 1,143,254 |
| Less: Commissions a) | <u>57,139</u> | <u>39,578</u> | <u>108,864</u> | <u>48,876</u> |
| Taxes Due | \$ 1,280,537 | \$ 891,679 | \$ 4,245,697 | \$ 1,094,378 |
| Taxes Paid | 1,277,639 | 889,478 | 4,244,913 | 1,094,395 |
| Refunds (Current and Prior Year) | <u>222</u> | <u>157</u> | <u>665</u> | <u>185</u> |
| Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff) | | b) | c) | |
| as of Completion of Fieldwork | <u>\$ 2,676</u> | <u>\$ 2,044</u> | <u>\$ 119</u> | <u>\$ (202)</u> |

a), b), and c) See Next Page

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement.

GRANT COUNTY
 RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF
 SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2003 TAXES
 April 24, 2004
 (Continued)

a) Commissions:

| | | |
|----------|----|-----------|
| 10% on | \$ | 10,000 |
| 4.25% on | \$ | 3,402,187 |
| 2.5% on | \$ | 4,354,561 |

b) Special Taxing Districts:

| | | |
|----------------------------|----|-------|
| Library District | \$ | 937 |
| Health District | | 473 |
| Extension District | | 431 |
| Soil Conservation District | | (17) |
| Mental Health District | | 220 |
| | | <hr/> |

| | | |
|--|----|--------------|
| Due Districts or (Refunds Due Sheriff) | \$ | <u>2,044</u> |
|--|----|--------------|

c) School Districts

| | | |
|--------------------------|----|----------------|
| Grant County Schools | \$ | 8,417 |
| Williamstown Independent | | <u>(8,298)</u> |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------------|
| Due Districts or (Refund Due Sheriff) | \$ | <u>119</u> |
|---------------------------------------|----|------------|

GRANT COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

April 24, 2004

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of April 24, 2004, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name.

GRANT COUNTY
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT
April 24, 2004
(Continued)

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2003. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the year ended June 30, 2004. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was September 22, 2003 through April 24, 2004.

Note 4. Interest Income

The Grant County Sheriff earned \$1,629 as interest income on 2003 taxes. The Sheriff distributed the appropriate amount to the school district as required by statute, and the remainder will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of November 18, 2004, the Sheriff owed \$54 in interest to the Grant County School District, \$10 in interest to the Williamstown School District and was due a refund of \$3 in interest from his fee account.

Note 5. Sheriff's 10% Add-On Fee

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$37,833 of 10% add-on fees allowed by KRS 134.430(3). This amount will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of November 18, 2004, the Sheriff owed \$3,439 in 10% add-on fees to his fee account.

Note 6. Advertising Costs And Fees

The Grant County Sheriff collected \$1,365 of advertising costs and advertising fees allowed by KRS 424.330(1) and KRS 134.440(2). The advertising fees will be used to operate the Sheriff's office. As of November 18, 2004, the Sheriff owed \$1,365 in advertising costs to the county.

COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

GRANT COUNTY
RANDY MIDDLETON, COUNTY SHERIFF
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

As of April 24, 2004

STATE LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

None

INTERNAL CONTROL - REPORTABLE CONDITIONS & MATERIAL WEAKNESSES:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

The Sheriff's office has a lack of segregation of duties, which we consider to be both a reportable condition and a material weakness. Due to the entity's diversity of official operations, small staff size and budget restrictions, the official has limited options for establishing an adequate segregation of duties. We recommend the Sheriff implement some compensating controls to offset the weaknesses in the internal control structure.

- The Sheriff should periodically compare the bank reconciliation to the balance in the checkbook. Any differences should be reconciled.
- The Sheriff should agree monthly tax reports to disbursements ledger.

Sheriff's Response:

I agree, but to the small amount of staff it is hard to do.

PRIOR YEAR:

Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

This has not been corrected and is repeated in this audit.

THIS PAGE LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY

REPORT ON COMPLIANCE
AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL
REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



The Honorable Darrell L. Link, Grant County Judge/Executive
The Honorable Randy Middleton, Grant County Sheriff
Members of the Grant County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of April 24, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated November 18, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Grant County Sheriff's Settlement - 2003 Taxes as of April 24, 2004 is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Grant County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the entity's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statement. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation.

- Lacks Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control
Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial
Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards
(Continued)

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting (Continued)

A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is a material weakness.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified parties.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Berger & Company CPA PSC". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Berger & Company, CPA, PSC

Audit fieldwork completed -
November 18, 2004

